Modern Physics Letters A
© World Scientific Publishing Company

arXiv:1210.7133v1 [hep-ph] 26 Oct 2012

BARYON POLARIZATION,
PHASES OF AMPLITUDES
AND TIME REVERSAL ODD OBSERVABLES

E. DI SALVO*

Laboratoire de Physique Corpusculaire de Clermont-Ferrand,
IN2P3/CNRS Université Blaise Pascal, F-63177 Aubière Cedex, France
disalvo@ge.infn.it

Z.J. AJALTOUNI

Laboratoire de Physique Corpusculaire de Clermont-Ferrand,
IN2P3/CNRS Université Blaise Pascal, F-63177 Aubière Cedex, France

Received (Day Month Year)
Revised (Day Month Year)

We elaborate two different methods for extracting from data the relative phase of two amplitudes of some sequential decays of baryons. We also relate this phase to a particular physical angle. Moreover we suggest how to infer some time reversal odd observables from data.

Keywords: Phase; rotation; time reversal odd.

PACS Nos.: 11.30.Er, 11.80.Et, 13.25.-k, 13.30.Eg

1. Introduction

The search for new physics (NP) beyond the standard model (SM) is the main goal of present high energy physics. To this end, several facilities have been realized or planned. At the same time, different methods have been elaborated, in order to find hints to NP. In particular, as regards hadron decays, people try to single out and measure observables which are sensitive as much as possible to deviations from the SM. We mention among them the T-odd correlations[1,2,3,4,5] and asymmetries[1,6,7,8,9], associated to kinematic variables which change sign at inverting all momenta and angular momenta involved[1,2]. These may be extracted, for example, from sequential weak two-body decays involving more spinning particles in the primary decay[9]. This kind of observable, wherever usable, looks more convenient than direct CP asymmetry, in that it does not depend so dramatically on the relative strong phase-shift of the interfering amplitudes[1,3,4]. It has been employed

---

[*] I.N.F.N. - Sez. Genova, Via Dodecaneso, 33, 16146 Genova, Italy

in some experiments[10,11]. Also T-even asymmetries may be quite helpful, although almost neglected in the literature[12,7,13].

We have studied in a previous paper[9] single and double asymmetries connected with weak sequential decays, in order to infer suitable T-even and T-odd observables, sensitive to hints to NP. Here we focus on a particular kind of such decays, consisting of two successive weak processes of the type

$$J \to a \quad b, \qquad a \to a_1 \quad a_2. \tag{1}$$

Here J, a and a_1 are spin-1/2 baryons, b is a spin-0 or spin-1 meson and a_2 a spin-0 meson. We recall a previous result on these decays[9], giving it a simple geometrical interpretation. Moreover we elaborate two different methods for extracting from data the relative phase of two decay amplitudes; to this end, we define a T-even and a T-odd single asymmetry. The convenience of each method depends on the available statistics. We note that a nonzero T-odd asymmetry does not necessarily imply time reversal (TR) violation; however, if also data of the CP-conjugated decay may be analyzed, our methods allow to determine some observables which are odd under TR. Obviously, comparing the experimental results of these observables with their SM predictions, can in principle help detecting possible discrepancies, and therefore signatures of NP.

Here we list some decays of the type mentioned above, of current interest, to which our methods may be applied:

$$\Lambda_b \to \Lambda(\Lambda_c^+) \quad P(V), \qquad \Lambda_c^+ \to \Lambda \quad \pi^+, \qquad \Xi \to \Lambda \quad \pi. \tag{2}$$

Here $P(V)$ denotes a light, pseudoscalar (vector) meson. We need also correlation with the secondary decay of the fermion, that is, *e. g.*,

$$\Lambda \to p \quad \pi^-, \tag{3}$$

or the second decay (2), if Λ_c^+ is observed in the primary decay. Data of such decays are available mainly from Fermilab experiments; in particular, Λ_b and Λ_c decays have been investigated recently at CDF[14,15] and D0[16,17]. For the hyperon decay we signal refs. [18,19,20]. The Λ_b and Λ_c decays have raised also a lively theoretical interest[21,22,23,24], as well as[25]

$$\Sigma_b \to \Sigma_c \quad P(V), \qquad \Omega_b \to \Omega_c \quad P(V), \tag{4}$$

which may be detected at the new facilities, like LHCb.

The present note is organized as follows. In sect. 2 we introduce suitable reference frames for describing the sequential decay. Then we define operatively T-even and T-odd asymmetries, giving their analytical expressions in terms of physically interesting observables. Lastly, we expose several remarks, illustrating a geometrical interpretation of our results, and we describing our first method for determining a T-even and a T-odd observable. In sect. 3 we describe an alternative method, suitable in presence of a relatively scarce statistics. Finally, in sect. 4 we show how to determine real TR-odd observables, provided data of the CP-conjugated decay are available.

2. T-odd and T-even Asymmetries

Here we introduce some T-odd and T-even asymmetries, which can be inferred from sequential decays of the type (1). They are defined as

$$A = \frac{N(p > 0) - N(p < 0)}{N(p > 0) + N(p < 0)}, \tag{5}$$

where p is a given T-odd or T-even variable and $N(p > 0)$ $[N(p < 0)]$ the number of decays such that p is positive (negative).

Our variables are conveniently defined in suitable reference frames, that we are going to define.

2.1. *Reference Frames*

First of all, we recall the definition of a canonical frame, at rest with respect to the parent resonance J:

$$\hat{\mathbf{y}} = \frac{\mathbf{p}_{in}}{|\mathbf{p}_{in}|}, \qquad \hat{\mathbf{z}} = \frac{\mathbf{p}_{in} \times \mathbf{p}_J}{|\mathbf{p}_{in} \times \mathbf{p}_J|}, \qquad \hat{\mathbf{x}} = \hat{\mathbf{y}} \times \hat{\mathbf{z}}, \tag{6}$$

where $\mathbf{p}_{in}$ and $\mathbf{p}_J$ are, respectively, the momenta of the initial beam and of the resonance J in the laboratory frame.

On the other hand, the secondary decay, *i.e.* of particle a, is more conveniently described in the helicity frame. To this end we define the following three mutually orthogonal unit vectors:

$$\hat{\mathbf{e}}_L = \frac{\mathbf{p}_a}{|\mathbf{p}_a|}, \qquad \hat{\mathbf{e}}_T = \frac{\hat{\mathbf{z}} \times \hat{\mathbf{e}}_L}{|\hat{\mathbf{z}} \times \hat{\mathbf{e}}_L|}, \qquad \hat{\mathbf{e}}_N = \hat{\mathbf{e}}_T \times \hat{\mathbf{e}}_L, \tag{7}$$

$\mathbf{p}_a$ being the momentum of a in the canonical frame.

2.2. *Asymmetries*

We define the T-odd and the T-even variable respectively as

$$p_N = \mathbf{p}_{a_1} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{e}}_N, \qquad p_T = \mathbf{p}_{a_1} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{e}}_T, \tag{8}$$

that is, the normal and transverse component of the momentum $\mathbf{p}_{a_1}$ of particle a_1 in the rest frame of a. We denote the corresponding asymmetries, defined by Eq. (5), as A_N and A_T. Their expressions read[9][a]

$$\Gamma(\Omega)A_N(\Omega) = \frac{1}{2\pi} W \Delta a^{s_a} [\Re(\alpha_{1/2,0}\alpha^*_{-1/2,0})\mathcal{P}_N + \Im(\alpha_{1/2,0}\alpha^*_{-1/2,0})\mathcal{P}_T], \tag{9}$$

$$\Gamma(\Omega)A_T(\Omega) = \frac{1}{2\pi} W \Delta a^{s_a} [\Im(\alpha_{1/2,0}\alpha^*_{-1/2,0})\mathcal{P}_N - \Re(\alpha_{1/2,0}\alpha^*_{-1/2,0})\mathcal{P}_T]. \tag{10}$$

---

[a] Eqs. (9) and (10) differ from those in ref. [9] by a factor of 2 at the denominator. This is due to a different definition of the polarization, coherent with Eqs. (27) below.

Here $\Gamma(\Omega)$ is the differential decay width, Ω denoting the direction of the momentum of particle a in the canonical frame: as usual, we have set $\Omega \equiv (\theta, \phi)$, where θ and ϕ are, respectively, the polar and azimuthal angle. Moreover

$$W = |B(p_J^2)|^2 |B(p_a^2)|^2 |B(p_b^2)|^2 \tag{11}$$

and the $B(p^2)$'s are the relativistic Breit-Wigner functions of the resonances, normalized as

$$\int_0^\infty dp^2 |B(p^2)|^2 = 1. \tag{12}$$

The $\alpha_{\lambda_a,\lambda_b}$'s are defined as

$$\alpha_{\lambda_a \lambda_b} = \frac{A^J_{\lambda_a \lambda_b}}{\sqrt{\sum_{\lambda_a,\lambda_b} |A^J_{\lambda_a \lambda_b}|^2}}, \tag{13}$$

the $A^J_{\lambda_a \lambda_b}$'s being the helicity decay amplitudes of the parent resonance and $\lambda_{a(b)}$ the helicity of particle $a(b)$. Similarly, we have set

$$\Delta a^{s_a} = \frac{1}{2}(a_+^{s_a} - a_-^{s_a}) \qquad and \qquad a_\pm^{s_a} = \frac{|A_\pm^{s_a}|^2}{|A_+^{s_a}|^2 + |A_-^{s_a}|^2}, \tag{14}$$

where the $A_\pm^{s_a}$'s are the two helicity decay amplitudes of the baryon a. Furthermore,

$$\Gamma(\Omega) = \frac{1}{4\pi} W (1 + \mathcal{P}_L \Delta G_L), \tag{15}$$

where ΔG_L is defined as

$$\Delta G_L = |\alpha_{1/2,0}|^2 - |\alpha_{-1/2,0}|^2 - |\alpha_{1/2,1}|^2 + |\alpha_{-1/2,-1}|^2, \tag{16}$$

with $\alpha_{1/2,1} = \alpha_{-1/2,-1} = 0$ if the meson b has spin 0. Lastly

$$\mathcal{P}_L = \vec{\mathcal{P}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{e}}_L, \qquad \mathcal{P}_N = \vec{\mathcal{P}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{e}}_N, \qquad \mathcal{P}_T = \vec{\mathcal{P}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{e}}_T \tag{17}$$

are the components according to the helicity frame of the polarization vector $\vec{\mathcal{P}}$ of J. These depend on the angles θ and ϕ, as we have

$$\hat{\mathbf{e}}_L \equiv (sin\theta cos\phi, sin\theta sin\phi, cos\theta), \tag{18}$$

$$\hat{\mathbf{e}}_T \equiv (-sin\phi, cos\phi, 0), \tag{19}$$

$$\hat{\mathbf{e}}_N \equiv (-cos\theta cos\phi, -cos\theta sin\phi, sin\theta). \tag{20}$$

2.3. *Remarks*

A) Setting

$$F = \alpha_{1/2,0}\alpha^*_{-1/2,0}, \tag{21}$$

Eqs. (9) and (10) imply that the quantities $\Re F$ and $\Im F$ are, respectively, T-even and T-odd, since according to our definitions A_T and $\mathcal{P}_T$ are T-even, while A_N and $\mathcal{P}_N$

are T-odd. As explained in the introduction, a nonzero value of $\Im F$ would not necessarily imply TR violation (TRV), since it may be produced also by strong or electromagnetic final-state interactions (FSI), for example by spin-orbit interaction[26,27], in the final state. Electromagnetic FSI are small and calculable[28]. On the contrary, strong FSI are sizable and difficult to calculate. We shall see below that, under some specific conditions and quite general assumptions, they may be disentangled experimentally from the effects of real TR.

B) Eqs. (9) and (10) can be rewritten as

$$A'_{\perp i}(\Omega) = CR_{ij}(-\Phi)\mathcal{P}_{\perp j}(\Omega). \tag{22}$$

Here we have introduced the two-dimensional vectors

$$\vec{\mathcal{P}}_{\perp} \equiv (\mathcal{P}_N, \mathcal{P}_T) \qquad and \qquad \vec{A'}_{\perp} \equiv (A'_N, A'_T) \tag{23}$$

in a plane perpendicular to $\mathbf{p}_a$, with

$$A'_N = A'_N(\Omega) = \Gamma(\Omega)A_N(\Omega), \qquad A'_T = A'_T(\Omega) = -\Gamma(\Omega)A_T(\Omega). \tag{24}$$

Moreover

$$\Phi = arg(F) \tag{25}$$

and $R(-\Phi)$ is a rotation matrix such that the vector $\vec{\mathcal{P}}_{\perp}$ goes over into the direction of $\vec{A'}_{\perp}$; it is defined by $R_{NN} = R_{TT} = cos\Phi$, R_{TN} = -$R_{NT} = sin\Phi$. Lastly, C is a constant,

$$C = \frac{1}{2\pi} W \Delta a^{s_a} |F|. \tag{26}$$

Therefore we conclude that the vectors (23) are connected to each other by a rotation by an angle opposite to the relative phase of the amplitude $A^J_{1/2,0}$ to $A^J_{-1/20}$.

C) These two-dimensional vectors are observable quantities, which can be inferred from experiment. In particular, thanks to eqs. (17) to (20) $\mathcal{P}_N$ and $\mathcal{P}_T$ are related to the components $\mathcal{P}_x, \mathcal{P}_y, \mathcal{P}_z$ of $\vec{\mathcal{P}}$ with respect to the canonical frame. These components can be deduced from the density matrix of the parent resonance J,

$$\rho_{\pm\pm} = \frac{1}{2}(1 \pm \mathcal{P}_z), \qquad \rho_{\pm\mp} = \frac{1}{2}(\mathcal{P}_x \pm i\mathcal{P}_y), \tag{27}$$

which in turn can be determined from the differential width of the sequential decay. Therefore the modulus $|F|$ and the phase Φ - or equivalently $\Re F$ and $\Im F$ - can be deduced from Eqs. (9) - (10) or (22). Φ can be determined independently of the constant C:

$$tan(\Phi) = \frac{\vec{A'}_{\perp} \times \vec{\mathcal{P}}_{\perp} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{e}}_L}{\vec{A'}_{\perp} \cdot \vec{\mathcal{P}}_{\perp}}. \tag{28}$$

The ambiguity of Φ up to π, which derives from Eq. (28), can be resolved by introducing the solution into Eqs. (22).

D) One can show that the asymmetries A'_N and A'_T do not coincide with the components of the polarization of the baryon a, when emitted in a given direction Ω. However, the normal and transverse components of the polarization of the baryon a, averaged over the whole solid angle, read

$$\mathcal{P}^a_N = \frac{\pi}{2}\mathcal{P}_z \Re F, \qquad \mathcal{P}^a_T = -\frac{\pi}{2}\mathcal{P}_z \Im F, \tag{29}$$

as is straightforward to check. Note that $\mathcal{P}^a_{N(T)}$ is T-odd (T-even), since $\mathcal{P}_z = \vec{\mathcal{P}}\cdot\hat{\mathbf{z}}$ is T-odd according to the second Eq. (6). Result (29) can be obtained also in a different way. Indeed, let us integrate the differential width[9] of the sequential decay (1) over the solid angle Ω and over the polar angle of the momentum of a_1 in the helicity frame. We get

$$\Gamma(\phi_1) = \frac{1}{2\pi}W[1+\frac{\pi^2}{8}\Delta a^{s_a}\mathcal{P}_z\Re(Fe^{i\phi_1})]. \tag{30}$$

Here ϕ_1 is the azimuthal angle of the momentum of a_1 in the helicity frame. Comparing Eq. (30) with the general formula

$$\Gamma(\phi_1) = \frac{1}{2\pi}W[1+\frac{\pi}{2}\Delta a^{s_a}\Re(\rho_{+-}e^{i\phi_1})], \tag{31}$$

and taking account of Eqs. (27), leads again to formulae (29). Note that, if the expression (30) is used for fitting data, one can infer the values of $\mathcal{P}^a_N$ and $\mathcal{P}^a_T$, and therefore F.

Moreover Eqs. (29) imply

- that Φ can be determined independently of $\mathcal{P}_z$:

$$tan(\Phi) = -\mathcal{P}^a_T/\mathcal{P}^a_N; \tag{32}$$

- that Eqs. (9)-(10) or (22) can be rewritten as

$$\Gamma(\Omega)A_N(\Omega) = C'\vec{\mathcal{P}}^a_\perp\cdot\vec{\mathcal{P}}_\perp, \qquad \Gamma(\Omega)A_T(\Omega) = C'\vec{\mathcal{P}}_\perp\times\vec{\mathcal{P}}^a_\perp\cdot\hat{\mathbf{e}}_L, \tag{33}$$

where $\vec{\mathcal{P}}^a_\perp \equiv (\mathcal{P}^a_N, \mathcal{P}^a_T)$ and $C' = 2C/(\pi\mathcal{P}_z)$.

E) Lastly, one has to observe that, in the production process of the parent resonance J, strong interactions contribute only to the z-component of the polarization $\vec{\mathcal{P}}$, due to parity conservation. At sufficiently high energies, also weak interactions contribute, giving rise to nonzero values of the other components.

3. An Alternative Method

Now we propose an alternative method, recommended when the available data is relatively poor. To this end, we define some integral quantities:

$$B_{N(T)} = \int d\Omega A_{N(T)}(\Omega), \tag{34}$$

$$\Delta B^x_{N(T)} = \int_0^\pi sin\theta d\theta[\int_0^\pi - \int_\pi^{2\pi}]A_{N(T)}(\Omega), \tag{35}$$

$$\Delta B^y_{N(T)} = \int_0^\pi sin\theta d\theta[\int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} - \int_{\pi/2}^{3/2\pi}]A_{N(T)}(\Omega). \tag{36}$$

Experimentally, these observables amount to

$$B_{N(T)} = \pm \frac{N(p_{N(T)} > 0) - N(p_{N(T)} < 0)}{N_{tot}}, \tag{37}$$

$$\Delta B^x_{N(T)} = \pm \frac{N(p_{N(T)} \cdot p^a_x > 0) - N(p_{N(T)} \cdot p^a_x < 0)}{N_{tot}}, \tag{38}$$

$$\Delta B^y_{N(T)} = \pm \frac{N(p_{N(T)} \cdot p^a_y > 0) - N(p_{N(T)} \cdot p^a_y < 0)}{N_{tot}}. \tag{39}$$

Here N_{tot} is the total number of events and the $+(-)$ sign refers to the index $N(T)$ of the above quantities. Moreover $p^a_{x(y)}$ is the $x(y)$-component of $\mathbf{p}_a$ with respect to the canonical frame. Inserting Eqs. (22) into (34)-(36) yields

$$B_N = \pi^2 C R_{NN}(-\Phi)\mathcal{P}_z, \qquad B_T = \pi^2 C R_{TN}(-\Phi)\mathcal{P}_z, \tag{40}$$

$$\Delta B^x_N = -8 C R_{NT}(-\Phi)\mathcal{P}_x, \qquad \Delta B^x_T = -8 C R_{TT}(-\Phi)\mathcal{P}_x, \tag{41}$$

$$\Delta B^y_N = +8 C R_{NT}(-\Phi)\mathcal{P}_y, \qquad \Delta B^y_T = +8 C R_{TT}(-\Phi)\mathcal{P}_y. \tag{42}$$

We can determine the phase Φ and the modulus $|F|$ from Eqs. (41)-(42), since the polarization of J and the moments (37)-(39) are experimental quantities. In particular, the ratio

$$r = \frac{B_T}{B_N} = tan(\Phi) \tag{43}$$

allows to determine Φ independently of $\mathcal{P}_z$, the ambiguity of the phase being resolved by the signs of B_N and B_T. Analogous ratios can be defined from Eqs. (41) and (42). Therefore we can infer the relative phase of the amplitude $A^J_{1/2,0}$ to $A^J_{-1/2,0}$ by just determining the moments (37)-(39).

4. Inferring Time Reversal Odd Observables

As seen above, $\Re F$ is T-even, while $\Im F$ is T-odd. But

$$\Re F = |F| cos\Phi, \qquad \Im F = |F| sin\Phi. \tag{44}$$

Therefore Φ itself must be T-odd. We set, without loss of generality,

$$\Phi = \Phi_e + \Phi_o, \tag{45}$$

where $\Phi_{e(o)}$ is even (odd) under TR. Therefore, while Φ_o is sensitive to real TRV, Φ_e is a consequence of the fake[3,29,30,31] T-odd effects caused by, say, spin-orbit interactions. Now we consider the decay which is CP-conjugated to (1) and define

$$\bar{F} = \bar{\alpha}_{-1/2,0}\bar{\alpha}^*_{1/2,0} = |\bar{F}| e^{i\bar{\Phi}}, \tag{46}$$

where the barred symbols denote the quantities relative to this decay. In particular, the phase

$$\bar{\Phi} = \bar{\Phi}_e + \bar{\Phi}_o, \tag{47}$$

may be determined by a procedure analogous to the one suggested for the phase Φ. But, if we assume the CPT symmetry, TR-even (TR-odd) terms are also CP-even (CP-odd). Then

$$\bar{\Phi}_e = \Phi_e, \qquad \bar{\Phi}_o = -\Phi_o. \tag{48}$$

The phases Φ_e and Φ_o are observables, as they can be calculated from Eqs. (45), (47) and (48), resulting in

$$\Phi_e = 1/2(\Phi + \bar{\Phi}), \qquad \Phi_o = 1/2(\Phi - \bar{\Phi}). \tag{49}$$

While Φ_o is TR-odd, Φ_e is a fake T-odd observable. Three more TR-odd observables can be defined, *i. e.*,

$$\Delta F_o = |F| - |\bar{F}|, \qquad \Delta R_o = \Re(F - \bar{F}), \qquad \Delta I_o = \Im(F - \bar{F}). \tag{50}$$

Moreover a further fake T-odd observable is given by

$$I_e = \Im(F + \bar{F}). \tag{51}$$

It is worth recalling that both real TR-odd and fake T-odd observables[32,33] are equally useful in the search for NP.

To conclude, we have shown that some baryon sequential two-body decays can be exploited for extracting the relative phase and the product of the moduli of the decay amplitudes $A^J_{1/2,0}$ and $A^J_{-1/2,0}$ of the parent baryon J. To this end, we have defined two asymmetries. These result to be related to the polarization of J by a rotation around the direction of the momentum of one of the two decay products; moreover the angle of rotation is opposite to the requested phase. Two different methods are elaborated, in order to determine the above mentioned physical observables: they are more or less suitable, according to the available statistics. If also data of the CP-conjugated decay may be analyzed, some important observables can be inferred, useful for singling out possible deviations from the SM.

References

References

1. G. Valencia: *Phys. Rev. D* **39** (1989) 3339
2. L. Wolfenstein: *Phys. Rev. D* **43** (1991) 151
3. W. Bensalem and D. London: *Phys. Rev. D* **64** (2001) 116003
4. W. Bensalem, A. Datta and D. London: *Phys. Rev. D* **66** (2002) 094004
5. W. Bensalem, A. Datta and D. London: *Phys. Lett. B* **538** (2002) 309
6. M. Gronau and J. L. Rosner: *Phys. Rev. D* **84** (2011) 096013
7. Z.J. Ajaltouni, E. Conte and O. Leitner: *Phys. Lett. B* **614** (2005) 165
8. Z. J. Ajaltouni and E. Di Salvo: *Jou. Phys. G: Nucl. Part. Phys.* **37** (2010) 125001
9. Z. J. Ajaltouni and E. Di Salvo: *Int. Jou. Mod. Phys. A* **27** (2012) 125086
10. R. Aaij *et al.*, LHCb Coll.: arxiv 1204.2813 (hep-ex)
11. K.F. Chen *et al.*, BELLE Coll.: *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **94** (2005) 221804
12. D. Atwood *et al.*: *Phys. Rept.* **347** (2001) 1
13. E. Di Salvo and Z. J. Ajaltouni: *Mod. Phys. Lett. A* **24** (2009) 109

14. T. Aaltonen *et al.*, CDF Coll.: *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **104** (2010) 102002
15. T. Abulencia *et al.*, CDF Coll.: *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **98** (2007) 122001
16. V.M. Abazov *et al.*, D0 Coll.: *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **99** (2007) 142001
17. V.M. Abazov *et al.*, D0 Coll.: *Phys. Rev. D* **84** (2011) 031102(R)
18. M. Huang *et al.*, HyperCP Coll.: *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **93** (2004) 011802
19. A. Chakravorty *et al.*, HyperCP Coll.: *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **91** (2004) 031601
20. C. Baltay *et al.*: *Phys. Rev. D* **9** (1974) 49)
21. X.-W. Kang, H.-B. Li, G.-R. Lu and A. Datta: *Int. Jou. Mod. Phys. A* **26** (2011) 2523
22. H-W Ke, X-Q Li and Z-T Wei: *Phys. Rev. D* **77** (2008) 014020
23. S. Arunagiri and C.Q. Geng: *Phys. Rev. D* **69** (2004) 0307307
24. C.-H. Chen, C.-Q. Geng and J.N. Ng: *Phys. Rev. D* **65** (2002) 091502
25. H-W Ke *et al.*: arXiv:1207.3477
26. S.J. Brodsky, D.S. Hwang and I. Schmidt: *Phys Lett. B* **530** (2002) 99
27. S.J. Brodsky, D.S. Hwang and I. Schmidt: *Nucl. Phys. B* **642** (2002) 344
28. A. Kozela *et al.*, nTRV Coll.: *Phys. Rev. C* **85** (2012) 045501
29. A. DeRujula *et al.*: *Nucl. Phys. B* **35** (1971) 365
30. A. Bilal *et al.*: *Nucl. Phys. B* **355** (1991) 549
31. D. Sivers: *Phys. Rev. D* **74** (2006) 094008
32. A. Datta, M. Imbeault and D. London: *Phys Lett. B* **671** (2009) 256
33. A. Datta, M. Duraisamy and D. London: *Phys Lett. B* **701** (2011) 357